ArborSafe

EPM Projects
Barker College, Pacific Highway Horsnby NSW
Maths and Student Hub – DA Submission
Arboricultural Impact Assessment

23 October 2020

Assessment and Report prepared by:

Andrew Clark
AQF Level 5

Tom Axford
AQF Level 8

C91796REF2DA

C91796REF3DA

23 October 2020

Mr Marcus Bankowski
Project Coordinator
EPM Projects
2/146 Arthur Street
North Sydney NSW 2060

Arboricultural Impact Assessment Report regarding forty-five (45) trees located within the vicinity of the proposed second and third floor addition to the Maths and Student Hub at the Barker College, 91 Pacific Highway, Hornsby

Dear Marcus,

We are pleased to provide you with the following Arboricultural Impact Assessment Report for forty-five (45) trees within the grounds of Barker College.

Complete use of this report is authorised under the conditions limiting its use as stated in Appendix A Item 7 of "Arboricultural Reporting Assumptions and Limiting Conditions".

Should you have any queries relating to this report, its recommendations, or the options considered please do not hesitate to contact us on 1300 272 671.

Regards,

Andy Clark
Consulting Arborist
Dip. Hort. (Arb.), AQF Level 5

ArborSafe
TREE CONSULTANCY AND MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS

Table of Contents

1 Executive Summary

1.1.1 The following Arboricultural Impact Assessment (Report) regards forty-five (45) trees located within the grounds of Barker College. The subject site was identified by the Client as possessing trees that may be impacted upon by the proposed second and third floor development of the Maths and Student Hub building.

1.1.2 In part, the project scope was to nominate subject trees that can be retained, or require removal to facilitate the proposed development, as well as identify and reduce potential conflicts between subject trees and site development. Accurate information on the area required for tree retention and methods/techniques suitable for tree protection during construction have been provided.

1.1.3 An arborist inspection of the subject trees was undertaken on 18 March 2020, where tree data was collected.

1.1.4 The Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) and Structural Root Zone (SRZ) method has been derived from Australian Standard AS 4970–2009: *Protection of Trees on Development Sites* (Standards Australia, 2009).

1.1.5 Tree retention values have been determined based upon the assessment of the trees' health, structure, physical dimensions, age class, life expectancy, location and environmental amenity/significance in accordance with a modified version of the British Standard BS 5837–2012: *Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition and Construction* (The British Standards Institution, 2012) and which have been prescribed into one of the following four (4) categories, A, B, C and U.

1.1.6 Generally, relevant consent authorities will consider:

- **A** retention value trees as a site constraint and may require alterations to the proposed development design and/or specific protection measures to allow retention, unless the proposed development outweighs the retention value of the tree
- **B** retention value trees as a site constraint consideration, lesser changes should be considered to retain such trees
- **C** retention value trees are not considered a site constraint
- **U** retention value trees are considered a site opportunity, as such trees are recommended for removal regardless of the proposed development.

1.1.7 Trees impacted by the proposed development:

Category	Description	Total	Removal		Retain	
			located within development footprint	irrespective of future development	with specific protection	with generic protection
A	High retention value trees	6				469, 479, 489, 490, 504, 515
B	Moderate retention value trees	15			506, 508, 509, 511	316, 317, 468, 470, 480, 481, 492, 505, 510, 513, 757
C	Low retention value trees	24			907	444, 445, 446, 476, 477, 493, 494, 507, 758, 759, 901, 902, 903, 904, 905, 908, 990, 991, 992, 993, 995, 998, 999
U	Trees to be removed irrespective of proposed development	0				

1.1.8 Additional information explaining Tree Retention Values can be found in Appendix C – Tree Retention Values.

2 Introduction

2.1.1 ArborSafe Australia Pty Ltd was engaged by Mr Marcus Bankowski on behalf of EPM Projects (the Client) to complete an Arboricultural Impact Assessment Report on forty-five (45) trees located adjacent to the proposed second and third floor addition to the recently constructed Barker College Maths and Student Hub building. The second and third floors will house offices, classrooms and learning hubs.

2.1.2 The site is located within the school and is situated along the eastern edge of Phipps Field and includes the new building and surrounding open space areas.

2.1.3 The report was intended to provide information on site trees and how they may be impacted upon by the proposed development. Report findings and recommendations provided are based upon guidance provided within Australian Standard AS 4970–2009: *Protection of Trees on Development Sites*.

2.1.4 Observations and recommendations provided within this report are based upon information provided by the Client and a previous arborist site visit.

3 Scope

3.1.1 Carry out a visual examination of the nominated trees located within the vicinity of the proposed development site. All site trees above 5m in height and/or with a crown spread of greater than 5m have been included within this report.

3.1.2 Provide an objective appraisal of the subject trees in relation to their species, estimated age, health, structural condition, useful life expectancy (ULE) and viability within the landscape.

3.1.3 Based on the findings of this investigation, provide independent recommendations on the retention value of the trees.

3.1.4 Nominate subject trees that can be retained or require removal to facilitate the development.

3.1.5 Identify and reduce potential conflicts between subject trees and site development by providing accurate information on the area required for tree retention and methods/techniques suitable for tree protection during construction.

3.1.6 Provide information on restricted activities within the area nominated for tree protection, as well as suitable construction methods to be adopted during demolition and/or construction.

4 Methodology

4.1 Data Collection

4.1.1 Tom Axford of ArborSafe Australia Pty Ltd carried out a site inspection of the subject trees on 18 March 2020.

4.1.2 Trees that are the subject of this report were identified during discussions with the Client, review of the relevant plans and during the onsite assessment.

4.1.3 The subject trees were inspected from ground level. No foliage or soil samples were taken. No aerial or internal investigations were undertaken.

4.1.4 Tree height and canopy width were estimated and have been provided to the nearest whole metre. Trunk diameter at breast height (DBH) was measured with a diameter tape and provided to the nearest centimetre.

4.1.5 Data collected on site was analysed and collated into report format by Andrew Clark of ArborSafe Australia Pty Ltd.

4.2 Site Trees

4.2.1 Forty-five (45) trees were inspected and are the subject of this report. Complete attributes for each tree can be found in Appendix G – Tree Assessment Data.

4.2.2 Pursuant with the scope, all site trees above 5m in height and/or with a crown spread of greater than 5m have been included within this report. Some smaller trees/shrubs within the site may have been omitted from the report based on their species, current size and/or potential future size and/or minimal contribution to local amenity.

4.2.3 Subject trees form part of the existing ArborPlan Tree Management System for the entire Barker College site and as such have been tagged, positioned on aerial imagery and visually assessed annually since 2017.

4.2.4 Trees can be identified on site using green tree tags which are typically located at approximately 2.0m from ground level on the southern side of the trunk.

4.3 Tree Protection and Structural Root Zones

4.3.1 The Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) and Structural Root Zone (SRZ) methods have been derived from the Australian Standard AS 4970–2009: *Protection of Trees on Development Sites*.

4.3.2 The TPZ is defined as a specified area above and below ground and at a given distance measured radially away from the centre of the tree's trunk and which is set aside for the protection of its roots and crown. It is the area required to provide for the viability and stability of a tree to be retained where it is potentially subject to damage by development. The radius of the TPZ is calculated by multiplying its DBH by 12. TPZ radius = DBH × 12. (Note "Breast Height" is nominally measured as 1.4m from ground level).TPZ is a theoretical calculation and can be influenced by existing physical constraints such as buildings, drainage channels, retaining walls, etc.

4.3.3 The SRZ is the area close to the base of a tree required for the tree's anchorage and stability in the ground. The woody root growth and soil cohesion in this area are necessary to hold the tree upright. The SRZ is nominally circular with the trunk at its centre and is expressed by its radius in metres.
SRZ radius = $(D \times 50)^{0.42 \times 0.64}$.

4.4 Determining TPZ Encroachment

4.4.1 **Major encroachment**. As per the Australian Standard AS 4970–2009: *Protection of Trees on Development Sites*, a major encroachment into the TPZ of any tree is considered to occur when it is beyond 10% of the total TPZ area. Trees with major encroachment may require removal or, in certain instances, be retained with specific protection requirements throughout the construction stage.

4.4.2 **Minor encroachment**. Under the aforementioned standard, a minor encroachment is determined as being less than 10% of the total TPZ area. Trees with minor encroachment may be retained with specific, generic or no protection requirements throughout the construction stage.

4.4.3 **No encroachment**. Trees with no encroachment may be retained with generic or no protection requirements throughout the construction stage.

4.4.4 For the purposes of this report, trees to be removed or retained have been identified as those:

- Requiring removal due to a level of encroachment into their TPZ that would likely result in a detrimental impact upon their future health and/or stability
- Retainable and requiring specific protection requirements throughout construction (i.e. generic requirements plus arborist supervision and careful construction methods within their TPZ)
- Retainable and requiring generic tree protection measures only (i.e. protective fencing and restriction of activities within the TPZ).

4.5 Images and Site Photographs

4.5.1 All photographs were taken at the time of the site inspection by the inspecting arborist. Photographs have been altered for brightness and/or cropped only. Other images used within this report have been sourced from ArborPlan or via the internet. The source of all images has been referenced accordingly.

4.6 Tree Retention Values

4.6.1 Retention values were determined based upon a modified version of the British Standard BS 5837–2012: *Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition and Construction*. This standard categorises tree retention value based upon assessment of the tree's quality (health and structure), and life expectancy. Other criteria such as its physical dimensions, age class, location and its Amenity, Heritage and Environmental significance are also considered. A breakdown of attributes required for each category can be obtained from Appendix C – Tree Retention Values.

4.7 Plans Reviewed for Assessment

4.7.1 Plans of the existing site and of the proposed development were provided to ArborSafe and include:

- Barker College Maths & Student Hub – General Arrangement Plan – Level 02, Project No. 190575, Drawing DA1002, Issue B, Architectus Sydney, 23 October 2020
- Barker College Maths & Student Hub – General Arrangement Plan – Level 03, Project No. 190575, Drawing DA1003, Issue B, Architectus Sydney, 23 October 2020
- Barker College Maths & Student Hub – Building Elevations 1 & 2, Project No. 190575, Drawing DA3001, Issue B, Architectus Sydney, 23 October 2020
- Site Survey overlaid with elevated walkway alignment and foundation locations, Architectus Sydney, 21 October 2020

4.7.2 No proposed underground service locations have been reviewed in the preparation of this report.

5 Images



Figure 1. Site map showing subject trees. Note that icon colour indicates trees current risk rating (not Retention Value). Tree attributes are to be obtained from Appendix G – Tree Assessment Data. (ArborPlan, March 2020).

Category	Tree numbers
A	469, 479, 489, 490, 504, 515
B	316, 317, 468, 470, 480, 481, 492, 505, 506, 508, 509, 510, 511, 513, 757
C	444, 445, 446, 476, 477, 493, 494, 507, 758, 759, 901, 902, 903, 904, 905, 907, 908, 990, 991, 992, 993, 995, 998, 999
U	



Figure 2. Excerpt from the General Arrangement Plan – Level 02 (DA1002). (Client, 23 October 2020).



Figure 3. Excerpt from the General Arrangement Plan – Level 03 (DA1003). (Client, 23 October 2020).



Figure 4. Excerpt from the Building Elevations 1 & 2 (DA3001). (Client, 23 October 2020).



Figure 5. Excerpt from the site survey with an elevated walkway overlay showing Trees 508, 907, 509 and 511. (Client, 19 September 2020).

6 Site Details/Observations

6.1 Location

6.1.1 The site was located within the grounds of Barker College (Figure 1). Specifically, the area designated in this report contains the newly constructed Administration and Cafeteria building, located along the eastern side of Phipps Field, and accessed from The Avenue, off Unwin Road, and the surrounding open space.

6.1.2 The site is within a larger area containing sports playing fields immediately to the west, along with various clubhouses and associated buildings, walking paths, managed grass areas, scattered trees and garden areas to the north and south. The area further to the north contains residential blocks while to the east are existing school academic buildings.

6.1.3 The site possessed a largely level aspect, which drops sharply along the western boundary down to a retaining wall along the eastern perimeter of Phipps Field.

6.1.4 The site is located within the Hornsby Shire Council Local Government Area (LGA).

6.1.5 Site soils are likely to be disturbed given the sites long term establishment and altered from their natural profiles.

6.2 Heritage Status

6.2.1 The site falls within the *Barker College Heritage Conservation Area*, which was listed in the Hornsby Council LEP 2013, although no specific item within the proposed development area is identified within the listing (SEED, 2020).



Figure 6. An image showing the location and listing of the Barker College Heritage Conservation boundaries. The approximate location of the development area is indicated in red (SEED, 2020).

6.3 Botanical and Environmental Status

6.3.1 The majority of site trees are commonly planted as urban amenity species in the local area and as such hold limited botanical significance.

6.3.2 The Blue Gum High Forest (BGHF) is an Endangered Ecological Community (EEC) endemic to the local area. Site trees 469, 479, 489, 504 and 505 were identified as *Eucalyptus saligna* (Sydney Blue Gum), which is the key species of this community These trees are recommended for retention within this report.



Figure 7. An image showing the local mapped location of the BGHF EEC. The approximate location of the development area is indicated in red (SEED, 2020).

7 Impact Assessment

7.1 Impact of Proposed Development

7.1.1 The proposed development has been reviewed and in summary consists of the construction of a second and third floor addition to the recently approved first floor administration and cafeteria building. The new levels will include offices, classrooms and learning hubs. The second and third floor additions extend up from the ground floor plan, hence there would be no additional TPZ root plate impacts from this component of the construction works.

7.1.2 The proposed second floor development also includes enhanced pedestrian and wheelchair access by way of an elevated walkway connecting to existing external pedestrian pathways. The elevated walkway alignment would pass through a stand of existing smaller trees. This aspect of the development was always going to impact some of the trees to enable its inclusion, due to the physical size and height of the walkway amongst the established canopies (refer to Figure 5), however the advantage of better access was considered worthwhile. The design intent was to retain trees with higher retention values and to limit any impacts (root damage from foundations or pruning to facilitate access) to lower retention value trees. This intent has been achieved with no additional assessed trees requiring removal due to its inclusion and minimal long-term negative health effects.

7.1.3 The walkway is shown in Figures 2, 4 and 5, with foundation placement seen in Figure 5. The pier foundations have been situated outside the SRZ of all retained trees and when located within a trees TPZ would be excavated using root sensitive excavation methods such as hand or vac truck methodology. The holes would be minor when compared to the overall size of the TPZ and would not exceed the allowable 10% TPZ encroachment threshold. There is ample adjacent area for additional compensatory TPZ area and with this in mind it is considered the walkway foundations will have minimal impact on any of the retained trees future health or stability. The trees with pier foundation holes within the TPZ are numbered 506, 509 and 511.

7.1.4 It is anticipated some of the retained trees will require targeted reduction pruning, to greater or lesser degrees, to facilitate the alignment of the walkway through the canopies. This pruning may exceed 10% of existing canopy in some cases however is not anticipated to cause any long term health impacts due to either the nature of the branches being removed (an existing damaged branch on Tree 511), species type (Ficus, Blueberry Ash, Lilly Pilly) and location (outer extent of canopy within easily replaced, smaller second and third order branches). All reduction pruning is anticipated to have minimal impact on the future viability (health or structural) of any trees targeted.

7.1.5 It is anticipated no major impacts to the existing retained trees would be required as the proposed second and third floor additions stay within the existing building footprint, with no additional foundation work or external construction required. Crane work may be required during construction of the third level due to the additional height, however it is anticipated the existing road infrastructure (i.e. The Avenue) would be used as the lifting and landing area where no trees need be impacted.

7.2 Tree Removal

7.2.1 Under the current design it is considered that Zero (0) trees, assessed within this AIA Report, would require removal to facilitate the proposed second and third floor levels and elevated walkway development.

7.3 Tree Retention

7.3.1 Forty-five (45) trees were recommended for retention and require either specific or generic protection measures during construction to ensure they remain viable following the completion of works.

Recommendation	Category A High retention value		Category B Moderate retention value		Category C Low Retention value	
	Qty	Tree numbers	Qty	Tree numbers	Qty	Tree numbers
Retain with specific protection requirements (Refer to section 8.1 and Appendix D)	0		4	506, 508, 509, 511	1	907
Retain with generic protection requirements (Refer Appendix D)	6	469, 479, 489, 490, 504, 515	11	316, 317, 468, 470, 480, 481, 492, 505, 510, 513, 757	23	444, 445, 446, 476, 477, 493, 494, 507, 758, 759, 901, 902, 903, 904, 905, 908, 990, 991, 992, 993, 995, 998, 999

8 Tree Protection and Management Recommendations

8.1 Generic Protection Measures

8.1.1 All retained trees are to have generic protection and compliance measures during construction (Refer to Appendix D and E).

8.2 Specific Ground Protection Measures

8.2.1 The following protection measures will be required to mitigate any negative impacts to Trees 506, 508, 509, 511 and 907 when installing the elevated walkway foundations.

8.2.2 No excavation should occur within the SRZ of these trees (or any retained trees).

8.2.3 When constructing the foundation pier holes for the elevated walkway and northern landing platform, the following root sensitive techniques should be utilised to avoid unnecessary damage. Such techniques include:

- Excavation by hand
- Excavation using a high-pressure water jet and vacuum truck sitting outside the TPZ
- Excavation using a light tracked machine (<1 tonne) with an additional spotter and ground protection or a machine sitting outside the TPZ area with an extendable arm
- Localised excavation to the size of the pier foundation

8.2.4 Roots discovered are to be treated with care and minor roots (<40mm diameter) pruned with a sharp, clean handsaw or secateurs. All significant roots (>40mm diameter) are to be recorded, photographed and reported to the project arborist.

8.3 Specific Pruning Measures

8.3.1 Four (4) trees would require reduction pruning greater than 10% to facilitate development.

Recommendation	Category A High retention value		Category B Moderate retention value		Category C Low Retention value	
	Qty	Tree numbers	Qty	Tree numbers	Qty	Tree numbers
Pruning recommendations	0		3	508, 509, 511	1	907

8.3.2 Tree 511 has previously been recommended for 30% reduction pruning to alleviate end weight stress above an existing failure wound on a south-western stem. This pruning, along with the removal of the lowest lateral branch on the western aspect, would satisfy the required pruning to facilitate the development. The proposed pruning would constitute approximately 20% of the canopy area but would have minimal long-term detrimental health effects.

8.3.3 Trees 508, 509 and 907 would require targeted reduction pruning to facilitate the elevated walkway alignment. All pruning cuts are to be back to appropriate branch unions where long-term structural stability would not be compromised and which would retain as much leaf area as possible. Branches greater than 50mm diameter are not to be removed unless specifically approved by the project arborist.

8.3.4 It is anticipated that minor pruning may be required on a few additional trees to facilitate the proposed development. The majority would consist of minor targeted reduction/crown lifting works, of no greater than 10% of the tree's total crown spread, to facilitate access or building works.

8.3.5 Reduction pruning should focus on the removal of smaller diameter branches where feasible and remove no greater than 10% of the total crown. Branches no greater than 50mm diameter are to be removed unless specifically approved by the project arborist.

8.3.6 All reduction pruning is anticipated to have minimal impact on the future viability (health or structural) of any trees targeted.

8.3.7 All pruning is recommended to be completed in accordance with the Australian Standard AS 4373–2007: *Pruning of Amenity Trees* (Standards Australia, 2007) and undertaken by a suitably qualified arborist (minimum AQF 3 arborist).

8.4 Additional Excavation/Trenching within TPZs

8.4.1 In the event additional excavation is required within the TPZs of retained trees identified within this report, or any other site trees, arborist involvement will be required to ensure works are undertaken in accordance with the Australian Standard AS 4970–2009: *Protection of Trees on Development Sites.*

8.4.2 Excavation/trenching within the TPZs of retained trees should be undertaken using sensitive construction methods such as manual excavation, under boring or hydro-vac excavation.

`

9 References

- *SEED - Sharing and Enabling Environmental Data.* [Online]
  Available at: https://geo.seed.nsw.gov.au/Public_Viewer/index.html?viewer=Public_Viewer&locale=en-AU
- Standards Australia, 2007. *AS4373–2007: Pruning of Amenity Trees,* Sydney: Standards Australia.
- Standards Australia, 2009. *AS4970–2009: Protection of Trees on Development Sites,* Sydney: Standards Australia.
- The British Standards Institution, 2012. *BS5837–2012: Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction,* London: BSI Standards Limited.

Construction Plans

- Barker College Maths & Student Hub – General Arrangement Plan – Level 02, Project No. 190575, Drawing DA1002, Issue B, Architectus Sydney, 23 October 2020
- Barker College Maths & Student Hub – General Arrangement Plan – Level 03, Project No. 190575, Drawing DA1003, Issue B, Architectus Sydney, 23 October 2020
- Barker College Maths & Student Hub – Building Elevations 1 & 2, Project No. 190575, Drawing DA3001, Issue B, Architectus Sydney, 23 October 2020
- Site Survey overlaid with elevated walkway alignment and foundation locations, Architectus Sydney, 21 October 2020

`

Appendix A. Arboricultural Reporting Assumptions and Limiting Conditions

1. Any legal description provided to the consultant is assumed to be correct. Any titles and ownership of any property are assumed to be good. No responsibility is assumed for matters legal in character.
2. It is assumed that any property/project is not in violation of any applicable codes, ordinances, statutes or other government regulations.
3. Care has been taken to obtain all information from reliable sources. All data has been verified in so far as possible, however, the consultant can neither guarantee nor be responsible for the accuracy of the information provided by others.
4. The consultant shall not be required to give testimony or to attend court by reason of this report unless subsequent contractual arrangements are made, including payment of an additional fee for such services.
5. Loss or alteration of any part of this report invalidates the entire report.
6. Possession of this report or a copy thereof does not imply right of publication or use for any purpose by anyone but the person to whom it is addressed, without the prior written consent of the consultant.
7. Neither all nor any part of the contents of this report, nor any copy thereof, shall be used for any purpose by anyone but the person to whom it is addressed, without the written consent of the consultant. Nor shall it be conveyed by anyone, including the Client, to the public through advertising, public relations, news, sales or other media, without the written consent of the consultant.
8. This report and any values expressed herein represent the opinion of the consultant and the consultant's fee is in no way contingent upon the reporting of a specified value, a stipulated result, the occurrence of a subsequent event, nor upon any finding to be reported.
9. Sketches, diagrams, graphs and photographs in this report, being intended as visual aids, are not necessarily to scale and should not be construed as engineering or architectural reports or surveys unless expressed otherwise.
10. Information contained in this report covers only those items that were examined and reflect the condition of those items at the time of inspection.
11. Inspection is limited to visual examination of accessible components without dissection, excavation or probing. There is no warranty or guarantee expressed or implied that the problems or deficiencies of the plants or property in question may not arise in the future.

Appendix B. Explanation of Tree Assessment Terms

Tree number: Refers to the individual identification number assigned within the ArborSafe software to each assessed tree on the site and the number which appears of the tree's tag.

Tree location: Refers to the easting and northing coordinates assigned to the location of the tree as obtained from the geo-referenced aerial image within the ArborSafe software.

Tree species: Provides the botanic name (genus, species, sub-species, variety and cultivar where applicable) in accordance with the International Code of Botanical Nomenclature (ICBN), and the accepted common name.

Trees in group: The number of trees encompassing a collective assessment of more than one tree. Typically grouped trees have similar attributes that can be encompassed within one data record.

Height: The estimated range in metres attributed to the tree from its base to the highest point of the canopy. Where required height will be estimated to the nearest metre.

Diameter at Breast Height (DBH): Refers to the tree's estimated trunk diameter measured 1.4m from ground level for a single trunked tree. These estimates increase in 50mm increments. Where required DBH will be measured to give an accurate measurement for single trunked trees, trees with multiple trunks, significant root buttressing, bifurcating close to ground level or trunk defects and will be measured as per the Australian Standard AS 4970–2009: *Protection of Trees on Development Sites*.

Canopy spread: The estimated range in metres attributed to the spread of the tree's canopy on its widest axis. Where required crown spread will be estimated to the nearest metre.

Health: Refers to the health and vigour of the tree.

Category	Description
Excellent	Canopy full with even foliage density throughout, leaves are entire and are of an excellent size and colour for the species with no visible pathogen damage. Excellent growth indicators, e.g. seasonal extension growth. Exceptional specimen.
Good	Canopy full with minor variations in foliage density throughout, leaves are entire and are of good size and colour for the species with minimal or no visible pathogen damage. Good growth indicators, none or minimal deadwood.
Fair	Canopy with moderate variations in foliage density throughout, leaves not entire with reduced size and/or atypical in colour, moderate pathogen damage. Reduced growth indicators, visible amounts of deadwood, may contain epicormic growth.
Poor	Canopy density significantly reduced throughout, leaves are not entire, are significantly reduced in size and/or are discoloured, significant pathogen damage. Significant amounts of deadwood and/or epicormic growth, noticeable dieback of branch tips, possibly extensive.
Dead	No live plant material observed throughout the canopy, bark may be visibly delaminating from the trunk and/or branches.

Age: Refers to the life cycle of the tree.

Category	Description
Young	Newly planted small tree not fully established may be capable of being transplanted or easily replaced.
Juvenile	Tree is small in terms of its potential physical size and has not reached its full reproductive ability.
Semi-mature	Tree in active growth phase of life cycle and has not yet attained an expected maximum physical size for its species and/or its location.
Mature	Tree has reached an expected maximum physical size for the species and/or location and is showing a reduction in the rate of seasonal extension growth.
Senescent	Tree is approaching the end of its life cycle and is exhibiting a reduction in vigour often evidenced by natural deterioration in health and structure.

Structure: Refers to the structure of the tree from roots to crown.

Category	Description
Good	Sound branch attachments with no visible structural defects, e.g. included bark or acute angled unions. No visible wounds to the trunk and/or root plate. No fungal pathogens present.
Fair	Minor structural defects present, e.g. apical leaders sharing common union(s). Minor damage to structural roots. Small wounds present where decay could begin. No fungal pathogens present.
Poor	Moderate structural defects present, including bifurcations with included bark with union failure likely within 0–5 years. Wounding evident with cavities and/or decay present. Damage to structural roots.
Hazardous	Significant structural defects with failure imminent (3–6 months). Defects may include active splits and/or partial branch or root plate failures. Tree requires immediate arboricultural works to alleviate the associated risk.

Useful Life Expectancy (ULE): Useful life expectancy refers to an expected period of time the tree can be retained within the landscape before its amenity value declines to a point where it may detract from the appearance of the landscape and/or presents a greater risk and/or more hazards to people and/or property. ULE values consider tree species, current age, health, structure and location. ULE values are based on the tree at the time of assessment and do not consider future changes within the tree's location and environment which may influence the ULE value.

Category
0 Years
<5 Years
5–10 Years
10–15 Years
15–25 Years
25–50 Years
>50 Years

Defects: Visual observations made of the presenting defects of the tree and its growing environment that are, or have the capacity to impact upon, the health, structural condition and/or the useful life expectancy of the tree. Defects may include adverse physical traits or conditions, signs of structural weaknesses, plant disease and/or pest damage, tree impacts to assets or soil related issues.

Tree Significance: Includes environmental, social or historical reasons why the tree is significant to the site. The tree may also be rare under cultivation or have a rare or localised natural distribution.

Arborist Actions: A list of arboricultural and/or plant health care works that are aimed at maintaining or improving the tree's health, structural condition or form. Actions may also directly or indirectly reduce the risk potential of the tree such as via the removal of a particular branch or the moving of infrastructure from under its canopy.

Appendix C. Tree Retention Values

Based upon BS 5837–2012: *Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction* – recommendations.

Category and definition	Criteria (including sub-categories where appropriate)		
Category U			
Trees in such a condition that they cannot realistically be retained as viable trees in the context of the current land use for longer than 5 years.	• Trees that have a severe structural defect that are not remediable such that their failure is expected within 12 months. • Trees that will become unviable after removal of other Category U trees (e.g. where for whatever reason the loss of companion shelter cannot be mitigated by pruning). • Trees that are dead or are showing signs of significant, immediate and irreversible overall decline. • Trees infected with pathogens of significance to the health and or safety of other trees nearby • Low quality trees suppressing adjacent trees of better quality. • Noxious weeds or species categorised as weeds within the local area. **Note:** Category U trees can have existing or potential conservation value* which might make it desirable to preserve.		
	1. Arboricultural Qualities	**2. Landscape qualities**	**3. Cultural and environmental values**
Category A			
Trees of High Quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 25 years and of dimensions and prominence that it cannot be readily replaced in <20 years.	Trees that are particularly good examples of their species, especially if rare or unusual (in the wild or under cultivation); or those that are important components of groups or avenues.	Trees or groups of significant visual importance as arboricultural and/or landscape features. (e.g. feature and landmark trees).	Trees, groups or plant communities of significant conservation, historical, commemorative or other value (e.g. remnant trees, aboriginal scar trees, critically endangered plant communities, trees listed specifically within a Heritage statement of significance).
Category B			
Trees of Moderate Quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of 15–25 years and of dimensions and prominence that cannot be readily replaced within 10 years.	Trees that might be included within Category A but are downgraded because of diminished condition such that they are unlikely to be suitable for retention beyond 25 years.	Trees that are visible from surrounding properties and/or the street but make little visual contribution to the wider locality.	Trees with conservation or other cultural value (trees within conservation areas or landscapes described within a statement of significance, locally indigenous species).
Category C			
Trees of Low Quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of 5–15 years, or young trees that are easily replaceable.	Trees of very limited value or such impaired condition that they do not qualify in higher categories.	Trees offering low or only temporary/transient landscape benefits.	Trees with no material conservation or other cultural value.

*Where trees would otherwise be categorised as U, B or C but have significant identifiable conservation, heritage or landscape value even though only for the short term, they may be upgraded, although they might be suitable for retention only.

`

Tree Quality

		Health**			
		Excellent/ Good	Fair	Poor	Dead
Structure	Good	A	B	C	U
	Fair	B	B	C	U
	Poor	C	C	U	U
	Hazard*	U	U	U	U

*Structural hazard that cannot be remediated through mitigation works to enable safe retention.

** Trees of short term reduced health that can be remediated via basic, low cost plant health care works (e.g. mulching, irrigation etc.) may be designated in a higher health rating to ensure correct retention value nomination.

Category A	Typically trees in this category are of high quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 25 years and of dimensions and prominence that it cannot be readily replaced in <20 years. The tree may make significant amenity contributions to the landscape and may make high environmental contributions. In some cases, trees within this category may not meet the above criteria, however possess significant heritage or ecological value. Trees of this retention value warrant design consideration and amendment to ensure their viable retention.
Category B	Typically trees in this category are of moderate quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of 15–25 years and prominence of size dimensions that cannot be readily replaced within 10 years. They may make moderate amenity contributions to the landscape and make low/moderate environmental contributions. Trees with this retention value warrant lesser design consideration in an attempt to allow for their retention.
Category C	Trees in this category are of low quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of 5–15 years, or young trees that are easily replaceable, may have poor health and/or structure, are easily replaceable, or are of undesirable species and do not warrant design consideration.
Category U	Trees in this category are found to be in such a condition that they cannot realistically be retained as viable trees in the context of the current land use for longer than five years. These trees may be dead and/or of a species recognised as a weed that resulted in them being unretainable. These trees should be removed irrespective of any future development.

`

Appendix D. Protection Measures

Protection and Reporting Measures During Construction

All trees to be retained require protection during the construction stage. Tree protection measures include a range of:

- Activities restricted within the TPZ
- Protective fencing
- Trunk and ground protection
- Tree protection signage
- Involvement from the project arborist
- Project milestones
- Compliance reporting

Activities Prohibited within the TPZ

- Machine excavation including trenching
- Storage
- Preparation of chemicals, including cement products
- Parking of vehicles and plant
- Refuelling
- Dumping of waste
- Wash down and cleaning of equipment
- Placement of fill
- Lighting of fires
- Soil level changes
- Temporary or permanent installation of utilities and signs
- Physical damage to the tree

Protective Fencing Specification

Protective fencing is to be installed as far as practicable from the trunk of any retained trees. Fencing should be erected as per the image below before any machinery or materials are brought to site and before commencement of works (including demolition).

In some areas of the site (i.e. protection of trees on neighbouring properties) existing boundary fencing may be used as an alternative to protective fencing.

Once erected, protective fencing must not be removed or altered without approval from the project arborist. The TPZ fencing should be secured to restrict access.

TPZ fencing is to be a minimum of 1.8m high and mesh or wire between posts must be highly visible. Fence posts and supports should have a diameter greater than 20mm and should ideally be freestanding, otherwise be located clear of the roots. See image below.

Tree protection fencing must remain intact throughout all proposed construction works and must only be dismantled after their conclusion. The temporary dismantling of tree protection fencing must only be done with the authorisation of a consulting arborist and/or the responsible authority.

The subject trees themselves must also not to be used as a billboard to support advertising material. Affixing nails or screws into the trunks of trees to display signs of any type is not a recommended practice in the successful retention of trees.



Legend:

1. Chain wire mesh panels with shade cloth attached (if required), held in place with concrete feet
2. Alternative plywood or wooden paling fence panels. This fencing material also prevents building materials or soil entering the TPZ
3. Mulch installation across surface of TPZ (at discretion of the project arborist). No excavation, construction activity, grade changes, surface treatment or storage materials of any kind are permitted within the TPZ
4. Bracing is permissible within the TPZ. Installation of supports should avoid damaging roots.

Figure 8. Depicts standard fencing techniques. (AS 4970–2009).

Trunk and Ground Protection

Given that proposed works are often within the TPZs of retained trees, standard protective fencing may not always be a viable method of protection. In these areas trunk protection and ground protection should be installed prior to the commencement of works and remain in place until after construction works have been completed.

Where construction access into the TPZ of retained trees cannot be avoided, the root zone of each tree must be protected using either steel plates or rumble board strapped over mulch/aggregate until such a time as permanent above ground surfacing (cellular confinement system or similar) is to be installed.

Trunk and ground protection should be undertaken in line with the Australian Standard AS 4790–2009: *Protection of Trees on Development Sites* as per the image below:



Notes:

1. For trunk and branch protection use boards and padding that will prevent damage to bark. Boards are to be strapped to trees, not nailed or screwed.
2. Rumble boards should be of a suitable thickness to prevent soil compaction and root damage.

Figure 9. Depicts trunk and ground protection techniques. (AS 4970–2009).

Tree Protection Signs

Signs identifying the TPZ should be placed at 10m intervals around the edge of the TPZ and should be visible from within the development site.

Figure 10. Depicts standard fencing techniques. (AS 4970–2009).

`

Appendix E. Reporting Requirements

Project Arborist

An official "Project Arborist" must be commissioned to oversee the tree protection, any works within the TPZ's and complete regular monitoring compliance certification.

The project arborist must have minimum five (5) years industry experience in the field of arboriculture, horticulture with relevant demonstrated experience in tree management on construction sites, and Diploma level qualifications in arboriculture – AQF Level 5.

Inspections are to be conducted by the project arborist at several key points during the construction in order to ensure that protection measures are being adhered to during construction stages and decline in tree health or additional remediation measures can be identified.

Project Milestones

The following visits and milestones were recommended as to when on-site tree inspection by the project arborist is required:

Item	Purpose of Visit	Timing of Visit(s)	Prerequisites
1	Pre-start induction	Following sign off from Item 1. Contractor to provide a minimum of five days advance notice for this visit.	Prior to commencement of works. All parties involved in the project to attend.
2	Supervision of works in TPZ's including all regrading and excavations	Whenever there is work planned to be performed within the TPZ's. Contractor to provide a minimum of five days advance notice for such visits.	
3	Regular site inspections	Minimum frequency monthly for the duration of the project.	The checklist must be completed by the Project Arborist at each site inspection and signed by both parties.
4	Final sign off	Following completion of works.	Practical completion of works and prior to tree protection removal.

Compliance Reporting

Following each inspection, the project arborist shall prepare a report detailing the condition of the trees. These reports should certify whether or not the works have been completed in compliance with the consent relating to tree protection.

These reports should contain photographic evidence where required to demonstrate that the work has been carried out as specified.

Matters to be monitored and included in these reports should include tree condition, tree protection measures and impact of site works which may arise from changes to the approved plans.

The reports and Compliance Statements shall be submitted to the Project Manager (as well as the Clients' nominated representative) following each inspection.

The reports and any Non-Compliance Statements shall be submitted to the Project Manager (as well as the Clients' nominated representative) if tree protection conditions have been breached. Reports should contain clear remedial action specifications to minimise any adverse impact on any subject tree.

Offset Tree Planting

Offset planting should reflect the number of trees removed and the initial loss of amenity and biomass. New trees should be of long-term potential and sourced from a reputable supplier.

Replacement tree species must suit their location on the site in terms of their potential physical size and their tolerance(s) to the surrounding environmental conditions. To avoid unethical or unprofessional tree selection and/or their placement within the landscape, replacement tree species must be selected in consultation with a consulting arborist, who can also assist in implementing successful tree establishment techniques.

Replacement tree species must have the genetic potential to reach a mature size potential of those trees removed to facilitate the development. As a guide, potential height will be a minimum of 10m (or more) and produce a spreading canopy so as they may provide amenity value to the property and contribute to the tree canopy of the surrounding area in the future.

Trenching for Installation of Underground Services

Where excavation or trenching is required to facilitate installation of underground services within the TPZs of any site trees arborist supervision is required. Works should be undertaken using techniques that are sensitive to tree roots to avoid unnecessary damage. Such techniques include:

1. Excavation by hand
2. Excavation using a high-pressure water jet and vacuum truck
3. Excavation using an Air Spade with vacuum truck.

Machine excavation should be prohibited within the TPZs of retained trees unless undertaken at the direct consent from the project arborist and/or the responsible authority.

`

Appendix F. Plant Health Care and Mulching

Guide to plant health tonics and root growth stimulants

Considering the varying sizes of trees in common urban landscapes, it is suggested that an application volume of combined water and product solution of 80–150L for small to medium sized trees (5-10m height), 150–250L for medium to large sized trees (10-20m height) and 250–400L for large to very large sized trees (+20m height). Note: a lesser volume of total mixed product could be used if a more concentrated mix is drenched and water irrigation used to further drench the area and therefore dilute the stronger mix application.

The following product recommendations have been based on previous successful works undertaken by ArborSafe. The information provided is to be used as a general guide only, depending on your tree species, health or location. We recommend you always refer to the manufacturers label before applying any product. You may need to further consult with ArborSafe or your Project Arborist to develop a more specific program for your tree needs.

- **Soil Conditioner** concentrate such as Kelpro, Seasol or similar 600–800mL/100L of water. A concentration of beneficial nutrients stimulating plant growth and root establishment, ideal for trees under stress.
- **Nitrogen Boost** concentrate such as Nitrosol liquid plant food or similar 300mL/100L of water. A general-purpose fertilizer that contains a nitrogen boost (the most abundantly used element for tree growth). NB: Care must be taken when applying general fertilizer, particularly where plants can be affected Phosphorus toxicity.
- **Root Biostimulant** concentrate such as Auxinone or similar 400mL/100L of water. A scientific blend of hormone root growth stimulants and vitamins assisting in the regeneration of roots.
- **Microbial Formulation** concentrate such as Noculate Liquid or similar 500mL/100L of water. Generally containing strains of beneficial soil microorganisms, humic acid, kelp, essential amino acids, vitamins, biotin, folic acid and natural sugars designed to enhance the establishment of beneficial microbial populations.
- **Carbohydrate Energy Source** such as Molasses 500-800mL/100L of water. Molasses is the by-product of sugar refining. It contains all the nutrients from the raw sugarcane plant and is a carbohydrate energy source that feeds soil microorganisms and increases microbial activity.
- **Surfactant/Wetting Agent** (optional) such as Dispatch (Liquid) 200–300ml/100L of water. Improves the infiltration and penetration of applied water and irrigation.

We recommend you always refer to the manufacturers label before applying any product using the above as a guide only.

Guide to mulching and maintenance for established trees

Whether a tree is a newly planted young tree, or a well-established mature tree, the area around its base is a key factor in its long-term retention and viability. Maintaining a soil environment that is conducive to tree root development is vital for trees of all ages. This guide provides information on appropriate maintenance practices around the base of trees including mulching and the restriction of activities that may cause harm to tree roots or trunks.

1. Why mulch?

Mulching is a plant health care action which can be undertaken to improve plant and soil health, as well as overall landscape aesthetics. Placing an organic (or sometimes inorganic) material on the soil surface reduces the level of direct sunlight contact. Mulching should not be confused with composting which involves incorporating organic matter such as composts or manures into the soil profile. All plants in their natural ecologies (except for some arid and coastal ecologies) are naturally mulched by the falling of leaves, bark, flowers and other organic material.

This action is of great importance in successful cultivation of plants as it:

- assists in the regulation of soil moisture and temperature levels
- helps to suppress weeds
- minimises soil compaction
- reduces run-off during periods of heavy rain
- adds organic matter to the soil, and
- improves overall structure, nutrition and water holding composition.

Mulch is best comprised of organic materials such as wood chips, leaf litter, straw or hay as these will degrade over time. Long-term mulching improves soil health and structure as it encourages the activities of earthworms, microflora and beneficial fungi. Inorganic materials such as stones and gravel can be moderately effective as mulch but will not provide the ongoing improvements to soil health.

Figure 11. An excellent example of how to mulch a young tree. (Lachlan Andrews, September 2015).

2. How to mulch

- Apply mulch to damp soil, as placing over dry soil makes it difficult to rehydrate. Applying during the cooler months of the year is an ideal time.
- If mulching on top of a pre-existing grass area, grass or weeds must first be hand weeded and/or sprayed with a non-selective herbicide and left to wilt and die before applying mulch.
- Mulch should be applied at a uniform thickness of 75–100mm and re-applied approximately every 12 months. Do not place mulch up against the trunk of a tree as the damp mulch can cause bark to decay.
- Apply over a wide area, at least as large as a tree's crown projection (preferably larger), within and outside the current root mass to encourage lateral root development and expansion. See Figure 2.
- Wood chip mulch (such as that generated from wood chippers) is considered an ideal mulch for landscape use as it contains a wide variety of materials that are of different sizes (such as bark, foliage and timber), is relatively cheap to purchase, and can be obtained in large quantities. Stockpiling of mulch after tree contractors have conducted works at a site is a way of generating 'free' mulch and ensuring that plant material from tree pruning and/or removals is recycled on site, not imported from external suppliers, saving costs and making the site more self-sustaining.
- The use of mulch made from pine bark or red gum chips are discouraged as they seldom degrade and therefore do not add nutrition to the soil profile. The uniform particle size and resin content can provide an impervious layer to water as well as retarding gaseous exchange.
- Mulching within the canopy areas of larger trees can not only improve long-term tree health but can also act to reduce tree risk by decreasing the number of targets that pass and/or congregate under their canopies. This in turn will minimise the likelihood of injury in the event of a branch failure.
- When using wood chip mulch, ensure that if it has been made from live plant material that is stored and allowed to compost for between 3 and 6 months prior to use. Never apply fresh, 'green' mulch around trees as this can induce what is called the nitrogen drawdown, which can result in the removal of nitrogen from the soil resulting in plants with nutrient deficiencies.

For further information refer to the Australian Standard AS 4454–2012: *Composts, Soil Conditioners and Mulches*.



Figure 12. Mulching established and young trees (ArborSafe Australia, 2020).

3. Root and trunk damage

The function of tree roots is primarily to provide water and nutrient uptake for the tree, provide stability through structural roots that anchor it to the ground and as a means of food and nutrient storage. Damage to tree roots can lead to a reduction to any or all of these functions.

Damage to tree roots and the lower portion of a tree's trunk is a common and often unnecessary occurrence that can lead to the entry of decay fungi into a tree's structural framework. Once present, decay may develop in larger structural roots and/or the base of the trunk, which can result in a reduction in tree health and in severe cases even compromise stability.

Works such as trenching and excavation are often the cause of root damage to trees. Refer to ArborSafe's Guide – Tree protection during construction or the Australian Standard AS 4970–2009: *Protection of Trees on Development Sites* for things to consider when performing construction activities near trees.

Everyday activities such as grass cutting via mowing or brush cutters can result in serious root damage or wounding to the lower trunk. Young trees with their trunks damaged by machinery often need replacing, while damage to the trunks and/or surface roots of established trees is not only detrimental to tree health but can also result in costly repairs to machinery.

Another advantage to mulching around the trunk and root crown is that it limits damage to both parts from mowing equipment. This in turn reduces mechanical damage and compaction.

Figure 13. An example of damage to tree roots caused via mowing. (Luke Dawson, June 2017).

Figure 14. Image showing wound caused to upper portion of surface root by mower. (Luke Dawson, June 2017).

`

4. How to avoid root and trunk damage

The following points serve to highlight ways to avoid damage to tree roots and trunks caused via grass cutting activities:

- Mulching around young and established trees negates the need for brush cutter and/or lawn mower use around the base of a tree. Mulching therefore not only creates a barrier between tree roots and trunk that are susceptible to damage, it improves soil condition, minimises soil compaction and decreases the total area required for mowing.
- Where mulching is not feasible, raising the cutting height of mowers and maintaining grass at a greater height can avoid unnecessary 'scalping' of roots and damage to mowers/blades.
- Where surface roots are located away from the trunk and in a location where neither the application of mulch nor the raising of mower height is inappropriate, it may be possible to raise the soil grade directly around the root/s to minimise damage. It is important that the application of new material does not result in significant changes to the soil profile that may inadvertently damage roots. Material applied should be permeable and allow the development of turf which will protect the roots. Coarse sand or a planting mix with a high sand to organic matter ratio (e.g. 80/20 mix) spread at a depth of 75–100mm could suitably protect the surface root from damage, while allowing turf to redevelop within the area.
- ArborSafe is able to answer any questions regarding the material, depth and method of application to be used to ensure the tree/s remain viable for the long-term.

Appendix G. Tree Assessment Data

Tree no.	*Botanical Name*	Common Name	Trees in group	DBH Total (cm)	DRB (cm)	Radial TPZ (m)	TPZ area (m2)	Radial SRZ (m)	Tree Height (m)	Canopy (m)	Health	Structure	Age	TLE (Yrs.)	Defects	Significance	Arborist comments	Tree Quality Score	Tree Retention value subcategory	Recommendation
316	*Brachychiton acerifolius*	Illawarra Flame Tree	1	42	51	**5.0**	79.80	**2.5**	10-15	5-10	Good	Fair	Mature	15-25	Bird browsing damage;Damaging infrastructure;Deadwood/stubs > 30mm;Soil problems;Suppressed;Wound(s);	Amenity value/shade;Attractive landscape feature;Screen value;		B	12	Retain tree with generic protection requirements (i.e. protective fencing and restriction of activities within the TPZ).
317	*Acer palmatum ssp. palmatum*	Japanese Maple	1	22	45	**2.7**	22.35	**2.4**	5-10	5-10	Good	Fair	Mature	15-25	Cavity(s);Co-dominant stems;Crossing/rubbing branches;Included bark;Previous failure(s);Wound(s);	Amenity value/shade;Attractive landscape feature;Screen value;		B	2	Retain tree with generic protection requirements (i.e. protective fencing and restriction of activities within the TPZ).
444	*Acacia falciformis*	Broad-leaved Hickory	1	30	42	**3.6**	40.72	**2.3**	5-10	5-10	Fair	Fair	Mature	<5	Deadwood/stubs > 100mm;Dieback;Poor pruning;Suppressed;Wound(s);	Amenity value/shade;		C	12	Retain tree with generic protection requirements (i.e. protective fencing and restriction of activities within the TPZ).
445	*Acacia falciformis*	Broad-leaved Hickory	1	30	42	**3.6**	40.72	**2.3**	5-10	5-10	Fair	Good	Mature	5-10	Deadwood/stubs < 30mm;Suppressed;Wound(s);	Amenity value/shade;		C	12	Retain tree with generic protection requirements (i.e. protective fencing and restriction of activities within the TPZ).
446	*Acacia falciformis*	Broad-leaved Hickory	1	33	46	**4.0**	49.27	**2.4**	5-10	5-10	Good	Good	Mature	10-15	Co-dominant stems;Deadwood/stubs < 30mm;	Amenity value/shade;Attractive landscape feature;Suitable to site conditions;		C	2	Retain tree with generic protection requirements (i.e. protective fencing and restriction of activities within the TPZ).
468	*Callistemon viminalis*	Weeping Bottlebrush	1	71	80	**8.6**	230.22	**3.0**	5-10	5-10	Good	Fair	Mature	25-50	Co-dominant stems;Deadwood/stubs < 30mm;Included bark;Wound(s);	Amenity value/shade;Screen value;Suitable to site conditions;		B	2	Retain tree with generic protection requirements (i.e. protective fencing and restriction of activities within the TPZ).
469	*Eucalyptus saligna*	Sydney Blue Gum	1	53	60	**6.4**	127.08	**2.7**	15-20	10-15	Good	Good	Semi-Mature	>50		Amenity value/shade;Attractive landscape feature;Rare or localised distribution;Suitable to site conditions;Protected species;	Existing retaining wall ~1.8m western aspect. This species is contained in Blue Gum Forest.	A	13	Retain tree with generic protection requirements (i.e. protective fencing and restriction of activities within the TPZ).
470	*Callistemon viminalis*	Weeping Bottlebrush	1	45	62	**5.4**	92.74	**2.7**	5-10	5-10	Good	Fair	Mature	25-50	Co-dominant stems;Deadwood/stubs < 30mm;Epicormic growth;Included bark;Suppressed;	Amenity value/shade;Screen value;Suitable to site conditions;		B	3	Retain tree with generic protection requirements (i.e. protective fencing and restriction of activities within the TPZ).
476	*Callistemon citrinus*	Crimson Bottlebrush	1	18	36	**2.1**	14.16	**2.2**	<5	<5	Good	Fair	Mature	5-10	Co-dominant stems;Decay;Previous failure(s);Suppressed;Wound(s);	Amenity value/shade;		C	2	Retain tree with generic protection requirements (i.e. protective fencing and restriction of activities within the TPZ).
477	*Photinia glabra 'Rubens'*	Red-leaved Photinia	1	11	14	**2.0**	12.57	**1.5**	<5	<5	Good	Fair	Mature	15-25	Epicormic growth;Poor pruning;	Amenity value/shade;Screen value;Suitable to site conditions;		C	13	Retain tree with generic protection requirements (i.e. protective fencing and restriction of activities within the TPZ).
479	*Eucalyptus saligna*	Sydney Blue Gum	1	66	78	**7.9**	197.06	**3.0**	15-20	10-15	Good	Fair	Semi-Mature	25-50	Co-dominant stems;Deadwood/stubs > 30mm;Previous failure(s);Wound(s);	Amenity value/shade;Attractive landscape feature;Rare or localised distribution;Suitable to site conditions;Protected species;	Existing retaining wall ~1.8m western aspect. Multi-stemmed form is likely the result of lopping or stem failure. Blue Gum High Forest.	A	3	Retain tree with generic protection requirements (i.e. protective fencing and restriction of activities within the TPZ).
480	*Callistemon salignus*	Willow Bottlebrush	1	36	42	**4.3**	58.18	**2.3**	5-10	5-10	Good	Fair	Mature	15-25	Co-dominant stems;Included bark;Previous failure(s);Wound(s);	Amenity value/shade;Screen value;Suitable to site conditions;		B	13	Retain tree with generic protection requirements (i.e. protective fencing and restriction of activities within the TPZ).
481	*Callistemon salignus*	Willow Bottlebrush	1	58	61	**7.0**	152.09	**2.7**	5-10	5-10	Good	Fair	Mature	15-25	Co-dominant stems;Deadwood/stubs < 30mm;Included bark;	Amenity value/shade;Attractive landscape feature;Screen value;Suitable to site conditions;	Breezeway boardwalk pier holes within TPZ	B	12	Retain tree with generic protection requirements (i.e. protective fencing and restriction of activities within the TPZ).
489	*Eucalyptus saligna*	Sydney Blue Gum	1	47	61	**5.6**	99.93	**2.7**	20-30	10-15	Good	Good	Semi-Mature	>50	Deadwood/stubs < 30mm;Epicormic growth;Previous failure(s);Wound(s);	Amenity value/shade;Attractive landscape feature;Rare or localised distribution;Suitable to site conditions;Protected species;	Specimen displaying good vigour. This species is contained in Blue Gum Forest.	A	13	Retain tree with generic protection requirements (i.e. protective fencing and restriction of activities within the TPZ).
490	*Syncarpia glomulifera*	Turpentine	1	47	58	**5.6**	99.93	**2.6**	10-15	5-10	Good	Good	Semi-Mature	>50	Suppressed;	Amenity value/shade;Suitable to site conditions;		A	3	Retain tree with generic protection requirements (i.e. protective fencing and restriction of activities within the TPZ).
492	*Grevillea robusta*	Silky Oak	1	34	40	**4.1**	52.30	**2.3**	10-15	10-15	Good	Fair	Semi-Mature	10-15	Suppressed;	Amenity value/shade;		B	2	Retain tree with generic protection requirements (i.e. protective fencing and restriction of activities within the TPZ).
493	*Elaeocarpus reticulatus*	Blueberry Ash	1	18	22	**2.2**	14.66	**1.8**	5-10	<5	Good	Good	Mature	15-25	Suppressed;	Amenity value/shade;		C	13	Retain tree with generic protection requirements (i.e. protective fencing and restriction of activities within the TPZ).
494	*Syzygium paniculatum*	Magenta Brush Cherry	1	19	22	**2.3**	16.33	**1.8**	5-10	<5	Good	Fair	Semi-Mature	15-25	Crossing/rubbing branches;Suppressed;	Amenity value/shade;		C	123	Retain tree with generic protection requirements (i.e. protective fencing and restriction of activities within the TPZ).
504	*Eucalyptus saligna*	Sydney Blue Gum	1	113	145	**13.6**	577.66	**3.9**	20-30	20-30	Good	Fair	Mature	15-25	Bird browsing damage;Cavity(s);Deadwood/stubs < 30mm;Decay;Epicormic growth;Previous failure(s);Resin exudation/Kino;Wound(s);	Amenity value/shade;Attractive landscape feature;Significant habitat - nests/hollows;Particularly old/venerable;Significant due to age/size;Rare or localised distribution;Protected species;Dominant landscape feature;Active nesting by fauna;	Species is contained in Blue Gum High Forest. Care required when installing elevated walkway foundations.	A	23	Retain tree with generic protection requirements (i.e. protective fencing and restriction of activities within the TPZ).
505	*Eucalyptus saligna*	Sydney Blue Gum	1	54	61	**6.5**	131.92	**2.7**	15-20	15-20	Good	Fair	Mature	10-15	Bird browsing damage;Cavity(s);Decay;Previous failure(s);Suppressed;Wound(s);	Amenity value/shade;Significant habitat - nests/hollows;Rare or localised distribution;Protected species;	Species is contained in Blue Gum High Forest.	B	13	Retain tree with generic protection requirements (i.e. protective fencing and restriction of activities within the TPZ).
506	*Toona australis*	Red Cedar	1	35	45	**4.2**	55.42	**2.4**	10-15	5-10	Good	Good	Semi-Mature	>50		Amenity value/shade;Attractive landscape feature;Suitable to site conditions;	Care when installing elevated walkway foundations.	B	2	Retain tree with specific protection requirements (i.e. Generic measures plus supervision of works within the TPZ and/or use of root sensitive construction techniques).
507	*Syzygium sp.*	Lilly Pilly	1	22	30	**2.6**	21.76	**2.0**	5-10	5-10	Good	Good	Semi-Mature	25-50	Co-dominant stems;	Amenity value/shade;		C	13	Retain tree with generic protection requirements (i.e. protective fencing and restriction of activities within the TPZ).
508	*Polyscias elegans*	Celery Wood	1	27	36	**3.2**	32.98	**2.2**	5-10	5-10	Good	Good	Mature	15-25		Amenity value/shade;	Within elevated walkway alignment	B	2	Retain tree with specific protection requirements (i.e. Generic measures plus supervision of works within the TPZ and/or use of root sensitive construction techniques).
509	*Ficus obliqua*	Small-leaved Fig	1	35	40	**4.2**	55.42	**2.3**	5-10	5-10	Good	Good	Semi-Mature	>50	Co-dominant stems;Deadwood/stubs < 30mm;	Amenity value/shade;Suitable to site conditions;	> 10% Pruning required to facilitate elevated walkway alignment.	B	2	Retain tree with specific protection requirements (i.e. Generic measures plus supervision of works within the TPZ and/or use of root sensitive construction techniques).

Tree no.	*Botanical Name*	Common Name	Trees in group	DBH Total (cm)	DRB (cm)	Radial TPZ (m)	TPZ area (m2)	Radial SRZ (m)	Tree Height (m)	Canopy (m)	Health	Structure	Age	TLE (Yrs.)	Defects	Significance	Arborist comments	Tree Quality Score	Tree Retention value subcategory	Recommendation
510	*Angophora floribunda*	Rough-barked Apple Myrtle	1	51	60	**6.1**	117.67	**2.7**	15-20	10-15	Good	Fair	Mature	25-50	Co-dominant stems;Deadwood/stubs < 30mm;Epicormic growth;Previous failure(s);Wound(s);	Amenity value/shade;Attractive landscape feature;	Existing footpath northern aspect ~300mm from trunk.	B	1	Retain tree with generic protection requirements (i.e. protective fencing and restriction of activities within the TPZ).
511	*Angophora floribunda*	Rough-barked Apple Myrtle	1	60	71	**7.2**	162.86	**2.9**	15-20	15-20	Fair	Fair	Mature	10-15	Deadwood/stubs > 60mm;Dieback;Epicormic growth;Previous failure(s);Wound(s);	Amenity value/shade;	Reduction pruning required in south west canopy to accommodate building and to alleviate strees on wounded stem.	B	12	Retain tree with specific protection requirements (i.e. Generic measures plus supervision of works within the TPZ and/or use of root sensitive construction techniques).
513	*Brachychiton acerifolius*	Illawarra Flame Tree	1	38	45	**4.6**	65.33	**2.4**	5-10	5-10	Good	Fair	Mature	25-50	Included bark;	Amenity value/shade;		B	1	Retain tree with generic protection requirements (i.e. protective fencing and restriction of activities within the TPZ).
515	*Quercus robur*	English Oak	1	95	102	**11.4**	408.28	**3.3**	15-20	15-20	Good	Good	Mature	>50	Crossing/rubbing branches;Deadwood/stubs > 60mm;Epicormic growth;Previous failure(s);Wound(s);	Amenity value/shade;Attractive landscape feature;Significant due to age/size;Particularly old/venerable;Suitable to site conditions;Dominant landscape feature;	Care taken during gas supply installation	A	12	Retain tree with generic protection requirements (i.e. protective fencing and restriction of activities within the TPZ).
757	*Eucalyptus paniculata*	Grey Ironbark	1	26	30	**3.1**	30.58	**2.0**	10-15	<5	Good	Fair	Semi-Mature	>50	Suppressed;Weak union(s);Wound(s);	Suitable to site conditions;Amenity value/shade;		B	23	Retain tree with generic protection requirements (i.e. protective fencing and restriction of activities within the TPZ).
758	*Eucalyptus paniculata*	Grey Ironbark	1	11	13	**2.0**	12.57	**1.5**	10-15	<5	Good	Good	Juvenile	>50		Suitable to site conditions;Amenity value/shade;		C		Retain tree with generic protection requirements (i.e. protective fencing and restriction of activities within the TPZ).
759	*Eucalyptus paniculata*	Grey Ironbark	1	8	9	**2.0**	12.57	**1.5**	5-10	<5	Good	Good	Juvenile	>50		Suitable to site conditions;Amenity value/shade;		C		Retain tree with generic protection requirements (i.e. protective fencing and restriction of activities within the TPZ).
901	*Livistona australis*	Cabbage Fan Palm	1		35			**2.1**	5-10	<5	Good	Good	Semi-Mature	>50		Amenity value/shade;		C		Retain tree with generic protection requirements (i.e. protective fencing and restriction of activities within the TPZ).
902	*Syzygium sp.*	Lilly Pilly	1	9	10	**2.0**	12.57	**1.5**	<5	<5	Good	Good	Semi-Mature	15-25	Co-dominant stems;Crossing/rubbing branches;	Amenity value/shade;		C		Retain tree with generic protection requirements (i.e. protective fencing and restriction of activities within the TPZ).
903	*Unknown sp.*	Unknown sp.	2	8	9	**2.0**	12.57	**1.5**	<5	<5	Good	Fair	Semi-Mature	15-25	Co-dominant stems;Crossing/rubbing branches;Included bark;	Amenity value/shade;		C		Retain tree with generic protection requirements (i.e. protective fencing and restriction of activities within the TPZ).
904	*Alloxylon flammeum*	North Queensland Waratah	1	7	9	**2.0**	12.57	**1.5**	<5	<5	Good	Fair	Semi-Mature	25-50		Amenity value/shade;		C	3	Retain tree with generic protection requirements (i.e. protective fencing and restriction of activities within the TPZ).
905	*Backhousia citriodora*	Lemon-scented Myrtle	1	6	7	**2.0**	12.57	**1.5**	5-10	<5	Good	Good	Semi-Mature	25-50		Amenity value/shade;		C	3	Retain tree with generic protection requirements (i.e. protective fencing and restriction of activities within the TPZ).
907	*Elaeocarpus reticulatus*	Blueberry Ash	1	13	15	**2.0**	12.57	**1.5**	5-10	<5	Good	Good	Semi-Mature	15-25		Amenity value/shade;	Within elevated walkway alignment	C	3	Retain tree with specific protection requirements (i.e. Generic measures plus supervision of works within the TPZ and/or use of root sensitive construction techniques).
908	*Backhousia citriodora*	Lemon-scented Myrtle	4	6	7	**2.0**	12.57	**1.5**	5-10	<5	Good	Good	Semi-Mature	25-50		Amenity value/shade;		C	2	Retain tree with generic protection requirements (i.e. protective fencing and restriction of activities within the TPZ).
990	*Unknown sp.*	Unknown sp.	1	18	23	**2.2**	14.66	**1.8**	5-10	5-10	Good	Good	Juvenile	25-50	Co-dominant stems;	Amenity value/shade;		C	2	Retain tree with generic protection requirements (i.e. protective fencing and restriction of activities within the TPZ).
991	*Backhousia citriodora*	Lemon-scented Myrtle	1	10	11	**2.0**	12.57	**1.5**	5-10	<5	Good	Good	Juvenile	>50	Suppressed;	Amenity value/shade;		C	1	Retain tree with generic protection requirements (i.e. protective fencing and restriction of activities within the TPZ).
992	*Backhousia citriodora*	Lemon-scented Myrtle	1	7	8	**2.0**	12.57	**1.5**	5-10	<5	Good	Good	Juvenile	>50	Suppressed;	Amenity value/shade;		C	1	Retain tree with generic protection requirements (i.e. protective fencing and restriction of activities within the TPZ).
993	*Syzygium floribundum*	Weeping Lilly Pilly	1	16	19	**2.0**	12.57	**1.6**	5-10	<5	Good	Good	Juvenile	>50	Suppressed;	Amenity value/shade;		C	1	Retain tree with generic protection requirements (i.e. protective fencing and restriction of activities within the TPZ).
995	*Prunus sp.*	Cherry	1	8	9	**2.0**	12.57	**1.5**	<5	<5	Good	Good	Juvenile	15-25				C	1	Retain tree with generic protection requirements (i.e. protective fencing and restriction of activities within the TPZ).
998	*Elaeocarpus reticulatus*	Blueberry Ash	1	18	21	**2.2**	14.66	**1.7**	5-10	<5	Good	Good	Semi-Mature	15-25		Amenity value/shade;Suitable to site conditions;		C	12	Retain tree with generic protection requirements (i.e. protective fencing and restriction of activities within the TPZ).
999	*Unknown sp.*	Unknown sp.	1	9	10	**2.0**	12.57	**1.5**	5-10	<5	Good	Good	Semi-Mature	15-25		Amenity value/shade;Suitable to site conditions;		C	123	Retain tree with generic protection requirements (i.e. protective fencing and restriction of activities within the TPZ).

ArborSafe

For further information
Telephone 1300 272 671
Email info@arborsafe.com.au
www.arborsafe.com.au

WHOLLY OWNED AND DEVELOPED BY

ArborSafe®

TREE CONSULTANCY AND MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS